



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/583,789

06/21/2006

Kimmo Jyrinki

IHN.080.WUS

6884

10888 7590 06/13/2011
Hollingsworth & Funk
8500 Normandale Lake Blvd., Suite 320
Minneapolis, MN 55437

EXAMINER

ZAHR, ASHRAF A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2175

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/13/2011

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

tdotter@hfiplaw.com
roswood@hfiplaw.com

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/583,789	JYRINKI, KIMMO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ASHRAF ZAHR	2175	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 March 2011.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4,5,8-10,13,14 and 18-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 4-5, 8-10, 13-14, 18-19,21-23,25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 20 and 24 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/30/11</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is the final rejection for application 10/583789. Claims 1, 4-5, 8-10, 13-14, 18-25 are pending in this application.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 20, 24 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant argues, "Applicants respectfully submit that the cited portions of Danielson, as well as the Danielson reference as a whole, fails to teach or suggest a calendar profile (or any equivalent thereof) that affects viewability of a calendar note that is defined based on a text pattern detected from the calendar note itself." ... "Therefore, Danielson is describing the parsing of the descriptive text in order to find additional information about the target event to better prepare the participants. There is no teaching or suggestion that the information is used in determining profiles that are used as the basis for sharing calendar content."

Danielson's discloses "the automatically acquiring background information includes obtaining a descriptive text when the start time is within a predetermined period; parsing and pattern matching the descriptive text to identify searchable components of the descriptive text" (Danielson, col 2, ln 5-30). This pattern matching using descriptive text is used to obtain background information, the

Art Unit: 2175

background information is presented to a user selecting a data field (Danielson, Claim 1). In other words, the patterns of the descriptive text is used to provide information a unique view for the user by providing additional information when a user is selecting a data field for editing. Modifying Mansikkaniemi to use pattern matching to provide background information to the user and thereby creating a unique user view for the user profile would be obvious to one of ordinary skill art at the time of the invention as both Mansikkaniemi and Danielson relate to the user interface collaboration tools that include calendars and scheduling.

Therefore, the examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant.

4. Applicant argues with respect to claims 21 and 25 ,” However, this describes how a user can change an event in the same user interface view where the calendar events are shown, e.g., without having to enter another user interface screen/view. This says nothing about how the data may be viewed differently by others based on a selected calendar profile. Therefore claims 21 and 25 are allowable for this additional reason.”

However, the user is able to create and edit events in the same views of the system where the information is presented (Masnikkaniemi, ¶0046). This includes changing the times and days of events. Furthermore the modified events are viewed by the user himself in his calendar or by another user (¶45-46). Therefore, the examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2175

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1, 4-5, 8-10, 13-14, 18-19, 21-23, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mansikkaniemi et al., US 2002/0063732 (Hereinafter, Mansikkaniemi) in view of Danielson et al., US 7,171,448 (Hereinafter, Danielson)

Regarding Claim 1, “a method comprising: facilitating user entry of one or more calendar entries into a terminal, wherein the entries each include a time of an event and a user composed calendar note describing the event”. Specifically, the user of the terminal first selects a particular day and then new calendar event view is shown in the display. A virtual keyboard is shown so that the new event can be typed and other information regarding its occurrence can also be determined (Mansikkaniemi, ¶0048).

Mansikkaniemi also discloses, “selecting in the terminal at least one calendar profile for generating calendar content to be shared”. Specifically, any member of the family can look at and add to the family calendar and also look at and add to their private calendar, but cannot look at and add to another’s calendar (Mansikkaniemi, ¶0048).

Mansikkaniemi also discloses, “associating the one or more calendar notes with one or more calendar profiles in a terminal” Specifically, associating the event so that a family member can look at the and add to the family calendar (Mansikkaniemi, ¶0048). The family member view and individual view of the calendar would be the calendar profile

Mansikkaniemi also discloses, “generating the calendar content to be shared on the basis of at least one selected calendar profile”. Specifically, any member of the family can look at and add to the family calendar and also look at and add to their private calendar, but cannot look at and add to another's calendar (Mansikkaniemi, ¶0048).

Mansikkaniemi also discloses, “establishing a connection between the terminal and at least one user terminal for transmitting or loading the calendar content to be shared to the at least one user terminal”. Specifically, the authenticated group member may change the group calendar content according to given command in such a way, that other authorized members of the same group get the latest group calendar information from the server (Mansikkaniemi, ¶0040).

Mansikkaniemi does not specifically discloses, “detecting a text pattern in one or more calendar notes” and “on the basis of the detected text patterns each calendar profile defining a unique view to the calendar entries”. However, this is remedied by Danielson's disclosure of “The automatically acquiring background information includes obtaining a descriptive text when the start time is within a predetermined period; parsing and pattern matching the descriptive text to

Art Unit: 2175

identify searchable components of the descriptive text” (Danielson, col 2, ln 5-30). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Danielson's pattern matching to match the calendar content to a group. The motivation to do so is that the information is utilized to query various sources of information on the web and obtain relevant stories about the current meeting to send back to the calendaring system (Danielson, col 20, ln 15-25)

Regarding Claim 4, Mansikkaniemi also discloses, “the method as claimed in claim 1, further comprising generating the calendar content to be shared as defined by the selected user profile based on a remote device, user group, purpose of use or time of day”. Specifically, any member of the family can look at and add to the family calendar and also look at and add to their private calendar, but cannot look at and add to another's calendar (Mansikkaniemi, ¶0048). There also is day view or a month arrangement (Mansikkaniemi, ¶0044).

Regarding Claims 5, 8, applicant claims a system to perform the method of claims 1, 4. These claims are substantially similar to claims 1, 4 and are therefore rejected based upon the same reasoning used to reject claims 1, 4.

Regarding Claim 9, Mansikkaniemi also discloses, “the communications system as claimed in claim 5, wherein the second user terminal is a media device, computer, PDA (Personal Digital Assistant) device or mobile station”.

Art Unit: 2175

Specifically, Mansikkaniemi discloses a computer and wireless terminals

Mansikkaniemi, ¶0023-24).

Regarding Claims 10, applicant claims a terminal that performs the method of claim 1. These claims are substantially similar to claim 1 and are therefore rejected based upon the same reasoning used to reject claim 1.

Regarding Claim 13, this claim is substantially similar to claim 9 and is therefore rejected based upon the same reasoning used to reject claim 9.

Regarding Claim 14, this claim is substantially similar to claim 1 and is therefore rejected based upon the same reasoning used to reject claim 1.

Regarding Claim 18, Mansikkaniemi also discloses, “the method of claim 1, wherein generating the calendar content to be shared on the basis of at least one selected calendar profile comprised modifying the calendar notes associated with the shared calendar content”. Specifically, the designation of the event of an either to be listed on the family or individual calendar would be the identifier (Mansikkaniemi, ¶0048). The user is able to create and edit events in the same views of the system where the information is presented (Mansikkaniemi, ¶0046).

Regarding Claim 19, Mansikkaniemi also discloses, “the method of claim 18, wherein the calendar profile comprises a work profile, and wherein modifying

Art Unit: 2175

the content comprises removing non-work-related personal information from the content”. Specifically, the designation of the event of an either to be listed on the family or individual calendar would be the identifier (Masnikkaniemi, ¶0048).

Family would be equivalent to the work profile and individual would be equivalent to the persona profile.

Regarding Claim 21, Mansikkaniemi also discloses, “the method of claim 18, wherein modifying the calendar notes associated with the shared calendar content comprises providing a modified note to describe user availability in the context of both the calendar profile and on the basis of times of day associated with the calendar entries”. The user is able to create and edit events in the same views of the system where the information is presented (Masnikkaniemi, ¶0046). This includes changing the times and days of events.

Regarding Claims 22-23, 25, these claims are substantially similar to claims 18-21 and are therefore rejected based upon the same reasoning used to reject claims 18-21.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory

Art Unit: 2175

action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ASHRAF ZAHR whose telephone number is (571)270-1973. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 am - 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Bashore can be reached on (571)272-4088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ashraf Zahr/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2175